arXiv:1607.00798v2 [math.CO] 12 Sep 2016

THE FINITENESS THRESHOLD WIDTH OF LATTICE POLYTOPES

M. BLANCO, C. HAASE, J. HOFMANN, AND F. SANTOS

Abstract. We prove that in each dimension d there is a constant $w^\infty(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ all but finitely many d-polytopes with n lattice points have width at most $w^\infty(d)$. We call $w^\infty(d)$ the finiteness threshold width and show that $d-2 \le w^\infty(d) \le O\left(d^{3/2}\right)$.

Blanco and Santos determined the value $w^\infty(3) = 1$. Here, we establish $w^\infty(4) = 2$. This implies, in particular, that there are only finitely many empty 4-simplices of width larger than two.

The main tool in our proofs is the study of d-dimensional lifts of hollow $(d-1)$-polytopes.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Threshold width and lifts of hollow polytopes 5
3. Hollow polytopes with infinitely many lifts of bounded size 9
4. Polytopes with finitely many lifts of bounded size 11
5. The finiteness threshold width in dimension 4 12
References 14

1. Introduction

A *lattice polytope* is a convex polytope with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. These geometric objects appear in a variety of mathematical fields like combinatorics, algebraic geometry, symplectic geometry, optimization, or statistics and have applications to mathematical physics in string theory. Considerable effort has gone into several classification projects for classes of lattice polytopes, with motivation stemming from different disciplines. A monumental task and now a shining example is the classification of reflexive polytopes up to dimension 4 by Kreuzer and Skarke [KS00], the data for these and other Calabi-Yau manifolds can be found online under http://hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at/~kreuzer/CY.html. Smooth reflexive polytopes were classified up to dimension 8 by Øbro [Øb07] and in dimension 9 by Lorenz and Paffenholz [LP08] (see also https://polymake.org/polytopes/paffenholz/www/fano.html). This classification led to new discoveries about smooth reflexive polytopes in arbitrary dimension and to solve long-open problems [AJP14, LN15, NP11, OSY12].

Supported by grant MTM2014-54207-P (M. Blanco and F. Santos), BES-2012-058920 (M. Blanco) of the Spanish Ministry of Science, and by the Einstein Foundation Berlin (F. Santos).

Supported by the Berlin Mathematical School (J. Hofmann), who paid a research visit to Santander.

Lattice polytopes with a single lattice point in their interior (assumed to be the origin) are important in algebraic geometry. They correspond to projective toric varieties with at most *canonical singularities*, which is why they are called *canonical polytopes*. Canonical polytopes all of whose boundary lattice points are vertices are called *terminal*. Canonical 3-dimensional lattice polytopes were fully enumerated by Kasprzyk [Kas10]. The data for this and a lot more can be found in the graded ring database (www.grdb.co.uk).

Another classification specially useful for us is that of lattice polytopes without interior lattice points, which were classified in dimension three by [AWW11] and [AKW15]. See below for details.

In all these classifications, two polytopes are called *unimodularly equivalent*, or just *equivalent*, if there is a lattice-preserving affine isomorphism mapping them onto each other. Throughout the paper, when we say that there are only finitely many lattice polytopes satisfying certain properties, we will mean modulo unimodular equivalence.

From the point of view of discrete geometry alone, it seems natural to classify, or enumerate, *all* lattice polytopes of a given dimension and with a certain number of lattice points. (We call the latter the *size* of a lattice polytope). For example, there is interest in classifying *distinct pair sum* polytopes (or DPS, for short) [CLR02], defined as those in which there are no two different pairs (p_1, p_2) and (q_1, q_2) of lattice points in the polytope with $p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$. Since DPS polytopes in dimension d cannot have more than 2^d lattice points, one way of classifying them is as a by-product of a classification of all lattice polytopes of at most that size.

This is easy in dimension 2, where Pick's Theorem implies that there are finitely many different lattice polygons for each size and an enumeration algorithm is straightforward, but the task seemingly makes no sense in dimension 3 and higher, where the number is infinite already for the smallest possible case, that of *empty tetrahedra* (that is, lattice 3-polytopes of size 4). Indeed, the following infinite family of so-called *Reeve tetrahedra* was described 60 years ago [Ree57]:

$$T_r := \operatorname{conv}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\r\end{pmatrix}\right\}.$$

Still, Blanco and Santos [BS16a] found a way of making sense of the question in dimension 3. They proved that for each size n, all but finitely many lattice 3-polytopes have width one and they classified lattice polytopes of width larger than one and of sizes up to eleven [BS16a, BS16b, BS16c], thus in particular classifying all 3-dimensional DPS polytopes (partial classifications appeared also in [Cur12]). Here, the *width* of a lattice polytope P *with respect to a linear functional* $\ell \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{width}_\ell(P) := \max_{p,q\in P} |\ell \cdot p - \ell \cdot q| \,,$$

and the *(lattice) width* of P is the minimum such $\operatorname{width}_\ell(P)$ where ℓ ranges over non-zero integer functionals:

$$\operatorname{width}(P) := \min_{\ell\in(\mathbb{Z}^d)^*\setminus\{0\}} \operatorname{width}_\ell(P).$$

For example, P has width one if and only if it lies between two consecutive lattice hyperplanes.

Generalizing this approach to higher dimensions, in this paper we introduce the *finiteness threshold* of lattice polytopes.

Definition 1.1 (Finiteness Threshold)**.** For each d and each $n \geq d+1$, denote by $w^\infty(d,n) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ the minimal width $W \geq 0$ such that there exist only finitely many lattice d-polytopes of size n and width $> W$. Let $w^\infty(d) := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} w^\infty(d,n)$.

For instance, $w^\infty(1) = w^\infty(2) = 0$, and Blanco and Santos' afore-mentioned result says that $w^\infty(3) = 1$. In dimension 4, Haase and Ziegler [HZ00, Proposition 6] found infinitely many empty simplices of width 2. That is,

$$w^\infty(4) \geq w^\infty(4,5) \geq 2. \tag{1}$$

As one of the main results in this paper, we determine the threshold width in dimension 4.

Theorem 1.2. $w^\infty(4) = 2$. *That is, for each $n > 4$ there are only finitely many lattice 4-polytopes of size n and width greater than 2.*

Observe that this implies the following result:

Corollary 1.3. *There are only finitely many empty 4-simplices of width larger than two.*

Remark 1.4. Corollary 1.3 is claimed by Barile et al. [BBBK11], but the proof given there is incomplete. More precisely, the authors use a classification of infinite families of empty 4-simplices of width > 1 that had been conjectured to be complete by Mori et al. [MMM88] for simplices whose determinant (i.e, their *normalized volume*) is a prime number, and proved by Sankaran [San90] and Bover [Bob09]. But when the determinant is not prime other infinite families do arise, such as the following explicit example: the empty 4-simplices with vertices e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and $(2, N/2-1, a, N/2-a)$, where the determinant N is a multiple of 4 and coprime with a.

As a conclusion, the proof of Corollary 1.3 given in [BBBK11] is valid only for simplices of prime determinant. We thank O. Iglesias for the computations leading to finding this (and other) families and the authors of [BBBK11] for helpful discussions about the extent of this mistake.

Along the way, we prove the following properties of the parameters $w^\infty(d)$ and $w^\infty(d,n)$.

Theorem 1.5.
(1) $w^\infty(d,n) \leq w^\infty(d,n+1)$ *for all d,n.* *(Proposition 2.1)*
(2) $w^\infty(d) \leq w^\infty(d+1)$ *for all d.* *(Proposition 2.2)*
(3) $w^\infty(d) \leq w_H(d-1)$. *(Corollary 2.4)*
(4) $w_E(d-1) \leq w^\infty(d)$ *for $d \geq 3$.* *(Corollary 3.5)*
(5) $w_H(d-2) \leq w^\infty(d)$. *(Corollary 3.7)*

Here, $w_E(d)$ and $w_H(d)$ are defined as follows.

Definition 1.6. We say that a lattice polytope is *hollow* if there is no lattice point in its interior. We say that it is *empty* if its vertices are the only lattice points it contains. We denote $w_H(d)$ and $w_E(d)$ the maximum widths of hollow and empty d-polytopes, respectively.

Remark 1.7. None of the inequalities $w_H(d-2) \le w^\infty(d) \le w_H(d-1)$ or $w_E(d-1) \le w^\infty(d)$ (for $d \ge 3$) is sharp, as the following table of known values shows.

d	$w_E(d-1)$	$w_H(d-2)$	$w^\infty(d)$	$w_H(d-1)$
1	–	–	0	–
2	1	–	0	1
3	1	1	1	2
4	1	2	2	3
5	≥ 4	3	≥ 4	≥ 4

The values of $w^\infty(d)$, $d = 1,2,3,4$, have been discussed above. For the others, observe that in dimension 2, the second dilation of a unimodular triangle is the only hollow polygon of width larger than one. Hence, $w_E(2) = 1$ and $w_H(2) = 2$. Howe ([Sca85, Thm. 1.3]) proved that $w_E(3) = 1$ and Haase and Ziegler [HZ00] showed $w_E(4) \ge 4$, so Theorem 1.5 implies $w_H(4) \ge w^\infty(5) \ge 4$. For $w_H(3)$, Averkov et al. ([AWW11, Theorem 2.2] and [AKW15, Theorem 1]) have classified all *maximal* hollow 3-polytopes, showing that there are exactly twelve, with maximum width three. Hollow 3-polytopes not contained in one of these must project to a hollow 2-polytope (hence they have width $\le w_H(2) = 2$), so $w_H(3) = 3$.

Finiteness of $w_H(d)$ (and hence of $w_E(d)$) follows from the Kannan-Lovász flatness theorem [KL88]. The best known bounds are $d \le w_H(d) \le O(d^{3/2})$ and $2\lfloor d/2 \rfloor - 1 \le w_E(d) \le O(n \log n)$. The upper bounds are proved in Banaszczyk et. al [BLPS99, Thm. 2.4, Cor. 2.5]. The lower bound for $w_H(d)$ is given by the d-th dilation of a unimodular simplex and that of $w_E(d)$ by a slight modification of it described in Sebő [Seb99].

Corollary 1.8.

$$d-2 \quad \le \quad w^\infty(d) \quad \le \quad O\left(d^{3/2}\right). \tag{2}$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. The monotonicity properties stated in parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.5 are almost straightforward, and proved at the beginning of Section 2. We then prove the upper bound $w^\infty(d) \le w_H(d-1)$ (Corollary 2.4), which in particular implies that the threshold width is finite for all d. The proof follows immediately from the following statement which combines results of Hensley [Hen83], Lagarias–Ziegler [LZ91] and Nill–Ziegler [NZ11] (see Lemma 2.3): all but finitely many lattice d-polytopes of bounded size are hollow and project to hollow $(d-1)$-polytopes. This fact implies that to search for an infinite family of d-polytopes of bounded size we can focus on lifts (see Definition 2.6) of hollow polytopes of one dimension less. The rest of Section 2 is devoted to prove several lemmas on the width of polytopes and the width of lifts of a polytope, that we will use later in the paper. Most importantly, we prove that it is enough to look at *tight* lifts (see Definition 2.10), which are inclusion-minimal lifts of a polytope (Corollary 2.12).

In Section 3 we prove that hollow polytopes with some specific properties have infinitely many lifts of bounded size. In particular, we prove the existence of certain such hollow $(d-1)$-polytopes of widths $w_E(d-1)$ and $w_H(d-2)$, which provides the lower bounds $w_E(d-1) \le w^\infty(d)$ (Corollary 3.5) and $w_H(d-2) \le w^\infty(d)$ (Corollary 3.7). Moreover, these constructions allow us to prove the following characterization of the threshold width, in terms of the natural equivalence relation on lifts (see Definition 2.6):

Theorem 1.9 (Theorem 3.8)**.** *For all $d \geq 3$, $w^\infty(d)$ equals the maximum width of a lattice $(d-1)$-polytope Q for which there are infinitely many (equivalence classes of) lifts of Q of some fixed size $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, every such Q is hollow.*

One direction of the theorem is trivial, but the other is not since a priori there could exist infinitely many hollow $(d-1)$-polytopes with lifts of size n, but each of them with only finitely many such lifts.

Example 1.10. In dimension 3, the infinite family of Reeve tetrahedra are lifts of size 4 of a unit square, which is a hollow polygon of width one. On the other hand, the unique hollow polygon of width larger than one is the second dilation of the unimodular triangle, which has only finitely many lifts of bounded size (see [BS16a, Corollary 22]). Hence $w^\infty(3) = 1$.

Sections 4 and 5 are aimed at proving Theorem 1.2. Observe that $w^\infty(4) \geq 2$ follows from the fact that the following hollow 3-polytope of width two can be lifted to infinitely many empty simplices (Haase and Ziegler [HZ00, Proposition 6]):

$$Q = \operatorname{conv}\left\{\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}2\\2\\3\end{pmatrix}\right\}.$$

Hence, to prove $w^\infty(4) = 2$ it suffices to show that each hollow 3-polytope of width larger than two has finitely many lifts of bounded size to dimension 4. For this we first prove some sufficient conditions that guarantee certain polytopes only to have finitely many lifts of bounded size (Section 4) and apply them to the full list of hollow 3-polytopes of width larger than two. This list is finite and is derived in Section 5 from the classification of *maximal* hollow 3-polytopes by Averkov et al. ([AWW11, Theorem 2.2] and [AKW15, Theorem 1]).

In ligth of these results, we ask the following questions.

Question 1.11. *Besides the monotonicity in parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.5, does $w^\infty(d,n) \leq w^\infty(d+1,n+1)$ always hold? The case $w^\infty(d,d+1) \leq w^\infty(d+1,d+2)$ follows from* [HZ00, Proposition 1]*: every empty d-simplex is a facet of infinitely many empty $(d+1)$-simplices of at least the same width.*

Question 1.12. *For all known values ($d \leq 4$) we have $w^\infty(d) = w^\infty(d,d+1)$. That is, the finiteness threshold for all d-polytopes is the same as the threshold for empty d-simplices. Does this hold for arbitrary d?*

Acknowledgement: We thank Benjamin Nill and Gennadiy Averkov for helpful discussions, in particular for pointing us to references [AWW11, AKW15].

2. Threshold width and lifts of hollow polytopes

Monotonicity of the threshold widths. Parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.5 have the following proofs:

Proposition 2.1. *$w^\infty(d,n) \leq w^\infty(d,n+1)$ for all $n \geq d+1$.*

Proof. Let $W \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that there exists an infinite family $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of d-polytopes of size n and width W. We are going to show that for each P_i there is a P_i' of size $n+1$ and width W containing P_i. To prove this, let ℓ_i be an integer functional giving width W to P_i, and assume without loss of generality that $\ell_i(P_i) = [0, W]$.

Taking any point $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \ell_i^{-1}[0,W] \setminus P_i$ we easily get a $Q_i = \operatorname{conv}(P_i \cup \{q_i\})$ of width W and properly containing P_i. If $Q_i \setminus P_i$ has more than one lattice point, remove them one by one until only one remains (which can always be done; simply choose a vertex v of Q_i not in P_i and replace Q_i to the convex hull of $(Q_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \setminus \{v\}$; then iterate).

That implies the lemma except for the fact that different polytopes P_i and P_j may produce isomorphic P_i' and P_j', so it is not obvious that $\{P_i'\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite family. But each element of $\{P_i'\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ can only correspond to at most $n+1$ elements from $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ (because P_i is recovered from P_i' by removing one of its $n+1$ lattice points), so the proof is complete. □

Proposition 2.2. *$w^\infty(d) \le w^\infty(d+1)$, for all d.*

Proof. Let $W \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an infinite family $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of d-polytopes of size n and width W. Then, $\{P_i \times [0,W]\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of $(d+1)$-polytopes of size $n(W+1)$ and width W. The same argument of the previous lemma shows that $\{P_i \times [0,W]\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is infinite. Hence $w^\infty(d+1) \ge W$. □

The finiteness threshold width is finite. The following lemma provides us with a bound for the finiteness threshold width:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $d < n \in \mathbb{N}$. All but finitely many lattice d-polytopes of size bounded by n are hollow. Furthermore, all but finitely many of the hollow d-polytopes admit a projection to some hollow lattice $(d-1)$-polytope.*

Proof. Hensley [Hen83, Thm. 3.6] showed that there is a bound on the volume of non-hollow lattice d-polytopes of a given size, and Lagarias–Ziegler [LZ91, Thm. 2] proved finiteness of the number of equivalence classes of lattice d polytopes with a bound on their volume. Hence, all but finitely many lattice d-polytopes of size bounded by n are hollow.

Finally, Nill–Ziegler [NZ11, Corollary 1.7] proved that all but finitely many hollow d-polytopes admit a projection to a hollow $(d-1)$-polytope. □

Corollary 2.4. *$w^\infty(d) \le w_H(d-1)$ for all d. In particular, $w^\infty(d) \in O(d^{3/2})$.*

Proof. The previous lemma implies $w^\infty(d,n) \le w_H(d-1)$ for each n, so $w^\infty(d) \le w_H(d-1)$. That $w_H(d-1) \in O(d^{3/2})$ was proved in [BLPS99]. □

Another consequence of Lemma 2.3 is that, for a fixed d and n, the width of lattice d-polytopes of size n is bounded. This allows us to reformulate the definition of $w^\infty(d)$ in a way that allows us to better obtain information on it:

Corollary 2.5. *For each d and each $n \ge d+1$, $w^\infty(d,n)$ equals zero if there are finitely many lattice d-polytopes of size n, and it equals the maximal W for which there are infinitely many lattice d-polytopes of size n and width W otherwise.*

Proof. The reason why this statement might not be equivalent to the definition of $w^\infty(d,n)$ is that there could be d-polytopes of size n and arbitrarily large width, but finitely many for each width. Lemma 2.3 prevents this. All but finitely many lattice d-polytopes of size n have width bounded by $w_H(d-1)$, which is finite. □

Threshold width via polytopes with infinitely many lifts of bounded size.

Definition 2.6. We say that a (lattice) d-polytope P is a *lift* of a (lattice) $(d-1)$-polytope Q if there is a (lattice) projection π with $\pi(P) = Q$. Without loss of generality, we will typically assume $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ to be the map that forgets the last coordinate.

Two lifts $\pi_1 : P_1 \to Q$ and $\pi_2 : P_2 \to Q$ are *equivalent* if there is a unimodular equivalence $f : P_1 \to P_2$ with $\pi_2 \circ f = \pi_1$.

In the rest of the paper we often use the expression "Q has finitely many lifts of bounded size". What we precisely mean is: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are finitely many equivalence classes of lifts of Q with size n. Accordingly, "Q has infinitely many lifts of bounded size" means that there is a size $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there are infinitely many equivalence classes of lifts of Q.

Theorem 2.9 relates the width of a polytope with the width of its lifts. For its proof, we need a couple of technical lemmas. In the first one we do not assume the polytope Q to be a lattice polytope.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a full-dimensional polytope, and $W \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is only a finite number of functionals $\ell \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^*$ such that $\text{width}_\ell(Q) \le W$.*

Proof. Observe that $\text{width}_\ell(Q)$ equals the maximum value of ℓ in the centrally symmetric polytope $Q - Q$. This, in turn, equals the smallest λ with $\ell \in \lambda(Q-Q)^\vee$, where $(Q-Q)^\vee$ is the polar of $Q-Q$. That is, the functionals giving width $\le W$ to Q are the lattice points in $W(Q-Q)^\vee$. Since $Q-Q$ is full-dimensional, its polar is bounded, so there are finitely many such lattice points. □

A lift of Q may have the same dimension as Q and still not be unimodularly equivalent to it. For example, the segment $[0,k]$ in $\mathbb{R}^1$ can be lifted to the primitive segment $\text{conv}\{(0,0),(k,1)\}$. However, the number of different such lifts of Q is finite, modulo the equivalence relation in Definition 2.6:

Lemma 2.8. *A $(d-1)$-dimensional polytope Q has only finitely many $(d-1)$-dimensional lifts.*

Proof. Every $(d-1)$-dimensional lift P of Q can be described as follows: there is an affine map $f : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$P = \text{conv}\{(v, f(v)) : v \text{ is a vertex of } Q\},$$

and such that f is integer in all vertices of Q. Assuming, without loss of generality, that f is linear and the origin is a vertex of P, this implies $f \in \Lambda(P)^*$, where $\Lambda(P)$ is the lattice spanned by the vertices of P. Two such functionals give equivalent lifts if, and only if, they are in the same class modulo $(\mathbb{Z}^{d-1})^*$. Thus, the number of different lifts equals the index of $(\mathbb{Z}^{d-1})^*$ in $\Lambda(P)^*$. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a lattice $(d-1)$-polytope of width W. Then all lifts $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of Q have width $\le W$. All but finitely many of them have width $= W$.*

Proof. As a convenient notation, for a given vector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$, we set $\tilde{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1})$. As projections do not decrease the width, we only need to prove the finiteness claim. For this, let $\ell \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^*$ be a functional and P be some lift of Q.
If $\ell_d = 0$, then $\text{width}_\ell(P) = \text{width}_{\tilde{\ell}}(Q) \ge W$, so for the rest of the proof we assume

that $\ell_d \neq 0$ for all our functionals.
Let $T \subseteq Q$ be a $(d-1)$-simplex and S be one of the finitely many $(d-1)$-dimensional lifts of T (see Lemma 2.8). Every lift P has to contain one of these S, so it suffices to show that there are finitely many such P having width $< W$. Furthermore we can assume that both P and S are contained in $Q \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let H be the hyperplane containing S and $L_1 := \max\{x_d : x \in H \cap (Q \times \mathbb{R})\} + 1$. Then $R := \bigcap_{x \in Q \times \{L_1\}} \operatorname{conv}(S \cup \{x\})$ is full-dimensional, which following Lemma 2.7 implies that there are only finitely many $\ell \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^*$ such that $\operatorname{width}_\ell(R) \leq W$. Let $\ell^1, \ldots, \ell^s$ be those functionals and set $D := \max_{x \in Q,\ i \in \{1,\ldots,s\}} |\tilde{\ell}^i \cdot x|$ and $L := \max\{L_1, 2D + W\}$.

Now assume that there exists a point $p \in P$ with $p_d \geq 2L$ and let ℓ be one of the finitely many functionals, $\hat{R} := R \cup \{p\}$ and $r \in R$. Then thanks to $p_d \geq L_1$, we have $\hat{R} \subseteq P$ and

$$\operatorname{width}_\ell(P) \geq \operatorname{width}_\ell(\hat{R}) \geq |\ell \cdot (p - r)| \geq |\ell_d(p_d - r_d)| - |\tilde{\ell} \cdot (\tilde{p} - \tilde{r})| \geq |\ell_d L| - 2D \geq W.$$

Hence all lifts P that extend S and contain a point p with $p_d \geq 2L$ have width W. That leaves us with those P that are contained in $Q \times [0, 2L]$, but there are only finitely many lattice subpolytopes of $Q \times [0, 2L]$ and hence only finitely many of width $< W$. □

Tight lifts. We finish this section showing that in order to decide whether a given Q has infinitely many lifts it is enough to look at *tight* lifts. This will simplify the work in Sections 3 and 4:

Definition 2.10. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a $(d-1)$-dimensional lattice polytope. We say that a lift $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of Q is *tight* if the projection sending P to Q bijects their sets of vertices. That is, if $P = \operatorname{conv}\{(v, h_v) : v \in \operatorname{vert}(Q)\}$ for some $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\operatorname{vert}(Q)}$.

Notice that a tight lift is not necessarily full-dimensional and that every lift of Q contains a tight lift.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (not necessarily full-dimensional) that projects to a lattice $(d-1)$-polytope Q. Then, there are finitely many d-polytopes of bounded size projecting to Q and that contain P.*

Proof. For each $q \in Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$, let $h_q \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $p_q = (q, h_q) \in P$, which always exists since P projects to Q.

Let P' be any lattice d-polytope that projects to Q and that contains P. Let $p' \in P' \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $p' = (q, h')$ for $q \in Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ and $h' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality assume that $h' \geq h_q$ (the other case is symmetric). Then P' contains the segment $\operatorname{conv}\{p_q, p'\} = \{q\} \times [h_q, h'] \subset P \subset P'$, which contains already $h' - \lceil h_q \rceil + 1$ lattice points. Since the size of P' is bounded, there are finitely many possibilities for h, hence for all the points of P'. □

Corollary 2.12. *A polytope has finitely many lifts of bounded size if and only if it has finitely many tight lifts of bounded size.*

Proof. One direction is trivial; the other is Lemma 2.11. □

Results 2.12 and 2.9 imply that, to prove that some polytope Q has infinitely (resp. finitely) many lifts of bounded size and same width as Q, it suffices to prove that it has infinitely (resp. finitely) many *tight lifts of bounded size*.

3. Hollow polytopes with infinitely many lifts of bounded size

Lemma 3.1. *Let Q be a lattice hollow $(d-1)$-polytope and let $v \in \operatorname{vert}(Q)$ be such that $Q' := \operatorname{conv}(\operatorname{vert}(Q) \setminus \{v\})$ is $(d-1)$-dimensional. (That is, Q is not a pyramid with apex at v). Suppose that every proper face F with $v \in F$ is either hollow or a pyramid with apex v. Then, for every $h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ the d-dimensional tight lift $P(h) := \operatorname{conv}(Q' \times \{0\}) \cup \{(v,h)\}$ of Q has the following properties:*

1. *$\operatorname{size}(P(h)) \le \operatorname{size}(Q)$, with equality for infinitely many values of h.*
2. *$\operatorname{width}(P(h)) = \operatorname{width}(Q)$ for every sufficiently large h.*

Proof. For the first statement, let $q \in Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$. We claim that the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q)$ has at most one lattice point in P, with equality in many cases. For this, let F be the carrier face of q in Q; that is, the unique face with $q \in \operatorname{relint}(F)$. Since Q is hollow, F is a proper face. By assumption, there are three possibilities for F:

- *F does not contain v.* Then $\pi^{-1}(F) \cap P = F \times \{0\}$. In particular, $(q,0)$ is the only lattice point of P in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q)$.
- *$v \in F$ and F is hollow.* Since $q \in \operatorname{relint}(F)$, we must have $F = \{q\} = \{v\}$. In particular, (v,h) is the only lattice point of P in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q)$.
- *F is a pyramid with apex at v.* Let F' be the base of the pyramid. Remember that v is lifted to (v,h) and every other vertex w of F' is lifted to $(w,0)$. In particular, the face $\pi^{-1}(F) \cap P$ of P equals the affine image of F under the map $x \mapsto (x, h \cdot \operatorname{dist}(F',x)/\operatorname{dist}(F',v))$, where $\operatorname{dist}(F',x)$ denotes the lattice distance from x to (the hyperplane spanned by) F'. Thus, $(q, h\operatorname{dist}(F',q)/\operatorname{dist}(F',v))$ is the only point of P in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(q)$. That point will be a lattice point if (but perhaps not only if) h is an integer multiple of $\operatorname{dist}(F',v)$.

In particular, we have $\operatorname{size}(P(h)) = \operatorname{size}(Q)$ for any h that is an integer multiple of $\operatorname{lcm}\{\operatorname{dist}(F',v) : F \text{ face of } Q \text{ that is a pyramid with base } F' \text{ and apex } v\}$.

The second statement follows directly from Theorem 2.9. Indeed, the polytopes $P(h)$ are unimodularly non-isomorphic for different values of $|h|$, since their volume is proportional to $|h|$. □

Remark 3.2. There are hollow 3-polytopes of width three (see Figure 1). If one of them satisfied the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 we could conclude $w^\infty(4) = w_H(3) = 3$. But this is not the case, so extra work is needed.

Corollary 3.3. *Let Q be hollow and not a simplex. If Q is either empty or simplicial then it has infinitely many lifts of their same size and width.*

Proof. Since Q is not a simplex, there is a vertex v such that Q is not a pyramid with apex at v. Being empty or simplicial guarantees the conditions of Lemma 3.1 for v. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let Q be a maximal hollow or maximal empty d-polytope, for $d \ge 2$. Then, for every vertex v of Q there is a lattice point $u \in Q$ that is not contained in any facet containing v.*

Proof. Let v be a vertex of Q and suppose that every lattice point of Q is in a facet containing v. We claim that this contradicts Q being maximal hollow or maximal empty. For this, let $C_v = v + \mathbb{R}^+(Q - v)$ be the cone of Q at v, then all the lattice points of Q lie in the boundary of the cone. Let $u \in \operatorname{int}(C_v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ be such that u is the only lattice point of $Q' := \operatorname{conv}(Q,u)$ in the interior of C_v. (Such a u can be

found, for example, minimizing in $\operatorname{int}(C_v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ any supporting linear functional of C_v). Then Q' strictly contains Q and it is still empty or hollow if Q was empty or hollow, respectively. □

With this we can now prove that $w^\infty(d)$ is at least $\max\{w_E(d-1), w_H(d-2)\}$.

Corollary 3.5. *If $d \geq 3$ then there is an empty $(d-1)$-polytope of width $w_E(d-1)$ with infinitely many lifts of bounded size. In particular, $w^\infty(d) \geq w_E(d-1)$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.4 implies that $w_E(d-1)$ is achieved by a non-simplex Q, and then Corollary 3.3 shows Q has infinitely many lifts of width $w_E(d-1)$. □

We call *bipyramid* a d-polytope with two vertices u and v such that every facet is a pyramid with apex at u or v, and no facet contains both. Hollow bipyramids are clearly in the conditions of Lemma 3.1, hence they have infinitely many lifts of bounded size.

Lemma 3.6. *For every $d \geq 2$ there is a hollow bipyramid of dimension d and width $w_H(d-1)$.*

Proof. By induction on d. For $d = 2$, the unit square is a hollow bipyramid of width $1 = w_H(1)$. For higher d, let us first see that there exists a hollow $(d-1)$-polytope Q of width $w_H(d-1)$ and having two lattice points u and v not sharing any facet.

- If $w_H(d-1) = w_H(d-2)$ then let Q be a hollow bipyramid of dimension $d-1$ and width $w_H(d-2)$, which exists by induction hypothesis.
- If $w_H(d-1) > w_H(d-2)$ then there are finitely many hollow $(d-1)$-polytopes of width $w_H(d-1)$, hence there is one such Q that is maximal. By Lemma 3.4, there are lattice points u and v in Q not contained in the same facet:

Consider now the convex hull of $(Q \times \{0\}) \cup \{(u,h),(v,-h)\}$. This is a hollow bipyramid and, for sufficiently large h, it has the same width of Q (by Theorem 2.9). □

Corollary 3.7. *If $d \geq 3$ then there is a hollow $(d-1)$-polytope of width $w_H(d-2)$ with infinitely many lifts of bounded size. In particular, $w^\infty(d) \geq w_H(d-2)$.*

Proof. Let Q be a hollow bipyramid of width $w_H(d-2)$, which exists by Lemma 3.4. Corollary 3.3 implies Q has infinitely many lifts of the same width. □

This finally allows us to prove that:

Theorem 3.8. *For all $d \geq 3$, $w^\infty(d)$ equals the maximum width of a lattice $(d-1)$-polytope Q that admits infinitely many lifts of bounded size. Moreover, Q is hollow.*

Proof. That $w^\infty(d)$ is at least the width of any $(d-1)$-polytope with infinitely many d-dimensional lifts of bounded size is obvious.

For the other inequality, Corollary 3.7 proves the statement in the case when $w^\infty(d) = w_H(d-2)$ (it proves as well that $w^\infty(d) \geq w_H(d-2)$).

The only remaining case is then when $w^\infty(d) > w_H(d-2)$. First of all, since $w^\infty(3) = 1$ and by Proposition 2.2, we have that $w^\infty(d) > 0$ for all $d \geq 3$ (this guarantees the existence of infinitely many lattice d-polytopes of some fixed size). Let n be such that $W := w^\infty(d) = w^\infty(d,n)$. That is, there is an infinite family $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of d-polytopes of size n and width W. Without loss of generality (Lemma 2.3) assume all P_i's are hollow and have a hollow lattice projection Q_i. By Theorem 2.9 every Q_i has width at least W, and since $W = w^\infty(d) > w_H(d-2)$ no Q_i admits a hollow

projection to dimension $d-2$. This implies the family $\{Q_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be finite, so one of them, call it Q, lifts to infinitely many members of the family $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Theorem 2.9 implies then that Q has width *exactly* W. □

4. Polytopes with finitely many lifts of bounded size

Lemma 4.1. *Let Q be a lattice pyramid with basis F and apex v.*
If F has finitely many lifts of bounded size, then so does Q.

Proof. Let Q be $(d-1)$-dimensional. Any tight lift of Q is of the form $P(\tilde{F},h) := \mathrm{conv}(\tilde{F}\cup\{\tilde{v}\})$, where $\tilde{F}$ is a tight lift of F and $\tilde{v}=(v,h)$ is a point in the fiber of v. $P(\tilde{F},h)$ is a pyramid with basis $\tilde{F}$. Let m be the distance from v to F. Since $\tilde{F}$ is contained in some hyperplane orthogonal to $\{x_d=0\}$, $P(\tilde{F},h)$ is equivalent to $P(\tilde{F},h+m)$ for all $h\in\mathbb{Z}$ (we leave it to the reader to derive the unimodular transformation). That is, there are at most $m-1$ values of h that give non-equivalent tight liftings $P(\tilde{F},h)$, for any fixed $\tilde{F}$. By hypothesis, there are finitely many such $\tilde{F}$ of bounded size, hence finitely many tight lifts of bounded size of Q. □

Corollary 4.2. *Lattice simplices have finitely many lattice lifts of bounded size.*

Proof. By induction on the dimension and using Lemma 4.1 this follows from the fact that a point has finitely many lifts of bounded size. □

We want to show that non-hollow polytopes have finitely many lifts of bounded size. The following geometric lemma (in which Q need not be a lattice polytope) will be helpful:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\pi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be the standard projection that forgets the last coordinate. Let q be a point in the interior of a $(d-1)$-polytope Q. Then, there is a $c\in\mathbb{R}$ such that for every d-polytope $P\in\mathbb{R}^d$ with πP we have*

$$\mathrm{vol}(P)\le c\cdot\mathrm{length}(P\cap\pi^{-1}(q)).$$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that q is the origin and that the vertical segment $P\cap\pi^{-1}(q)$ goes from $(q,0)$ to $(q,1)$. This is no loss of generality since the parameter $\mathrm{length}(P\cap\pi^{-1}(q))/\mathrm{vol}(P)$ does not change by vertical translation or vertical dilation/contraction of P. Under these assumptions what we want to show that there is a global upper bound c for the volume of P.

By considering respective supporting hyperplanes of P at $(q,0)$ and $(q,1)$ we see that P is contained in the region $f_1(x_1,\dots,x_{d-1})\le x_d\le f_2(x_1,\dots,x_{d-1})+1$, for some linear functionals $f_1,f_2\in(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})^*$, and there is no loss of generality in assuming that P actually equals the intersection R of $\pi^{-1}(Q)$ with that region. Now, for $\pi(P)$ to equal Q we need $f_1-f_2\le 1$ on Q, which is equivalent to saying that f_1-f_2 is in the polar $Q^\vee$ of Q. The volume of R is a continuous function of the functional f_1-f_2. (In fact, it equals the integral in Q of the function $1+f_2-f_1$). Since $Q^\vee$ is compact, there is a global bound on it. □

Corollary 4.4. *A non-hollow polytope has only finitely many lifts of bounded size.*

Proof. Let q be a point in the interior of $Q\subset\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. A bound n for the size of a lift P of Q implies a bound $n+1$ for the length of $\pi^{-1}(q)\cap P$. By Lemma 4.3, this gives a bound for the volume of P. Since there are finitely many d-polytopes with bounded volume (Hensley [Hen83, Thm. 3.6]), the result follows. □

5. The finiteness threshold width in dimension 4

Definition 5.1. A lattice hollow d-polytope is called *maximal* if it is maximal under inclusion of lattice hollow d-polytopes.

Theorem 5.2 ([Tre08, Theorem 1.3])**.** *Any lattice hollow 3-polytope falls exactly under one of the following categories:*

1. *It has width 1. All polytopes of width 1 are hollow and there are infinitely many of them for each size.*
2. *It has width 2 and admits a projection onto the polygon $2\Delta_2$. There are infinitely of them, although finitely many for each fixed size.*
3. *It has width ≥ 2, and does not admit a projection to $2\Delta_2$. There are finitely many of them, regardless the size. They are all contained in maximal hollow 3-polytopes.*

The maximal hollow 3-polytopes referred to in part (3) have been classified in [AWW11, AKW15]. More precisely, Averkov, Wagner and Weismantel [AWW11] classified the hollow lattice 3-polytopes that are not properly contained in any other hollow convex body. Then Averkov, Krümpelmann and Weltge [AKW15] showed that the maximal lattice 3-polytopes in this sense (which they call $\mathbb{R}$-maximal) coincide with the maximal latttices 3-polytopes in our sense (which they call $\mathbb{Z}$-maximal). It is known that the two notions of maximal hollow polytopes do not coincide in dimensions four and higher [NZ11].

Theorem 5.3 ([AWW11, Theorem 2.2] and [AKW15, Theorem 1])**.** *There are the following 12 maximal hollow lattice 3-polytopes:*

$$\mathcal{M}_1\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_3\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_4\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_5\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_6\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_7\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_8\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_9\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{10}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{M}_{11}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{12}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

They all have width two except $\mathcal{M}_3$, $\mathcal{M}_5$, $\mathcal{M}_6$, $\mathcal{M}_9$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$, of width three.

In particular, every hollow 3-polytope has width ≤ 3 and those of width three are contained in one of $\mathcal{M}_3$, $\mathcal{M}_5$, $\mathcal{M}_6$, $\mathcal{M}_9$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$. These five polytopes are pictured in Figure 1, taken from [AKW15]. (The coordinate system in the figure is not the same as in the definition)

A priori there could be proper subpolytopes of one of these five that still have width three, but we have checked computationally that this does not happen. A computer-free proof is also possible, as we sketch below.

Remark 5.4. In fact, we enumerated, using polymake [GJ00], all subpolytopes of $M_1, \ldots, M_{12}$ of width ≥ 2 ordered by size. Our width algorithm is included in releases of Polymake starting with version 3.0 as a property of a polytope with command `LATTICE_WIDTH`. The lists and the algorithms we used to compute them can be found on http://ehrhart.math.fu-berlin.de/Research/Data/.

Lemma 5.5. *The only lattice hollow 3-polytopes of width > 2 are $\mathcal{M}_3$, $\mathcal{M}_5$, $\mathcal{M}_6$, $\mathcal{M}_9$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$, and they have width three.*

Proof. It suffices to check that all the subpolytopes of $\mathcal{M}_3$, $\mathcal{M}_5$, $\mathcal{M}_6$, $\mathcal{M}_9$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ obtained removing a single vertex have width two (or lower). In some of them this is evident from Figure 1. In the two that seem less clear to us, namely $\mathcal{M}_5$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$, figure 2 shows projections of them for which the coordinate functionals prove this fact (a single projection for $\mathcal{M}_5$, three projections for $\mathcal{M}_{10}$, each showing it for two of the vertices). □

$\mathcal{M}_3$, $\mathcal{M}_5$, $\mathcal{M}_6$ are simplices, hence they have finitely many lifts of bounded size (Corollary 4.2). So it only remains to check that $\mathcal{M}_9$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ have finitely many lifts of bounded size as well.



FIGURE 1. The five hollow 3-polytopes of width three



FIGURE 2. Projections showing that all proper subpolytopes of $\mathcal{M}_5$ and $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ have width < 3

Proposition 5.6. *The pyramid $\mathcal{M}_9$ has a finite number of lifts with bounded size.*

Proof. The basis of the pyramid is a lattice polygon with three interior points, which, by Corollary 4.4, has a finite number of lifts of bounded size. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{M}_9$ has also a finite number of lifts of bounded size. □

Proposition 5.7. *The prism $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ has finitely many lifts of bounded size.*

Proof. Let $u, v, w, u', v'w'$ be the vertices of the prism, where uu', vv', ww' are edges.

Let $Q := \operatorname{conv}\{u, v, w, u', v'\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{10}$. It is a quadrangular pyramid over a polygon with interior points.

Any tight lift of $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ will be of the form $P(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{w}')$, where $\tilde{Q}$ is a tight lifting of Q and $\tilde{w}'$ is a point in the fiber of w'. By Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.4, there are finitely many such $\tilde{Q}$ of bounded size. Fix one, and let us see that there are finitely many possibilities for $\tilde{w}'$.

Each lift $\tilde{w}'$ induces a lift of the quadrilateral $\operatorname{conv}\{u, w, u', w'\}$. By Corollary 4.4, there are finitely many such lifts of bounded size (modulo isomorphism). We claim that at most two choices of $\tilde{w}'$ correspond to the same (isomorphism class of) lift of $\operatorname{conv}\{u, w, u', w'\}$.

By fixing $\tilde{Q}$ we already have fixed a lift of the three vertices u, w, u'. These three lifts are contained in a plane Π. On the other hand, the possible lifts of the point w' are in the line $\pi^{-1}(w')$.

This line is not contained in Π, so the lifts of the quadrilateral are all 3-dimensional (except for at most one value), and their volume is proportional to the distance between $\tilde{w}'$ and Π. That is, each of the possibilities for $\tilde{w}'$ induces non-equivalent tight lifts of the quadrilaterals, up to (perhaps) reflection with respect to the plane Π. □

References

[AJP14] Benjamin Assarf, Michael Joswig, and Andreas Paffenholz, *Smooth Fano polytopes with many vertices*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **52** (2014), no. 2, 153–194. MR 3249378

[AKW15] Gennadiy Averkov, Jan Krümpelmann, and Stefan Weltge, *Notions of maximality for integral lattice-free polyhedra: the case of dimension three*, arXiv:1509.05200 (2015).

[AWW11] Gennadiy Averkov, Christian Wagner, and Robert Weismantel, *Maximal lattice-free polyhedra: finiteness and an explicit description in dimension three.*, Math. Oper. Res. **36** (2011), no. 4, 721–742.

[BBBK11] Margherita Barile, Dominique Bernardi, Alexander Borisov, and Jean-Michel Kantor, *On empty lattice simplices in dimension* 4., Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 12, 4247–4253.

[BLPS99] Wojciech Banaszczyk, Alexander E. Litvak, Alain Pajor, and Stanislaw J. Szarek, *The flatness theorem for nonsymmetric convex bodies via the local theory of Banach spaces.*, Math. Oper. Res. **24** (1999), no. 3, 728–750.

[Bob09] Jonathan W. Bober, *Factorial ratios, hypergeometric series, and a family of step functions*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **79** (2009), no. 2, 422–444. MR 2496522

[BS16a] Mónica Blanco and Francisco Santos, *Lattice 3-polytopes with few lattice points*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **30** (2016), no. 2, 669–686.

[BS16b] ———, *Lattice 3-polytopes with six lattice points*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **30** (2016), no. 2, 687–717.

[BS16c] ———, *On the enumeration of lattice* 3*-polytopes*, arXiv:1601.02577 (2016).

[CLR02] M. D. Choi, T. Y. Lam, and B. Reznick, *Lattice polytopes with distinct pair-sums*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **27** (2002), no. 1, 65–72, Geometric combinatorics (San Francisco, CA/Davis, CA, 2000). MR 1871689

[Cur12] Milos Curcic, *Lattice polytopes with distinct pair-sums*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2012.

[GJ00] Ewgenij Gawrilow and Michael Joswig, *polymake: a framework for analyzing convex polytopes*, Polytopes — Combinatorics and Computation (Gil Kalai and Günter M. Ziegler, eds.), Birkhäuser, 2000, pp. 43–74.

[Hen83] Douglas Hensley, *Lattice vertex polytopes with interior lattice points.*, Pac. J. Math. **105** (1983), 183–191.

[HZ00] Christian Haase and Günter M. Ziegler, *On the maximal width of empty lattice simplices.*, Eur. J. Comb. **21** (2000), no. 1, 111–119, art. no. eujc.1999.0325.

[Kas10] Alexander Mieczyslaw Kasprzyk, *Canonical toric fano threefolds*, no. 6, 1293–1309. MR MR2760660

[KL88] Ravi Kannan and László Lovász, *Covering minima and lattice-point-free convex bodies*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 3, 577–602. MR 970611

[KS00] Maximilian Kreuzer and Harald Skarke, *Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000), no. 6, 1209–1230. MR 1894855

[LN15] Benjamin Lorenz and Benjamin Nill, *On smooth Gorenstein polytopes*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **67** (2015), no. 4, 513–530. MR 3436539

[LP08] Benjamin Lorenz and Andreas Paffenholz, *unpublished improvement of Øbro's algorithm*, https://polymake.org/polytopes/paffenholz/www/fano.html (2008).

[LZ91] Jeffrey C. Lagarias and Günter M. Ziegler, *Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice.*, Can. J. Math. **43** (1991), no. 5, 1022–1035.

[MMM88] S. Mori, D.R. Morrison, and I. Morrison, *On four-dimensional terminal quotient singularities*, Math. Comput. **51** (1988), no. 184, 769–786.

[NP11] Benjamin Nill and Andreas Paffenholz, *Examples of Kähler-Einstein toric Fano manifolds associated to non-symmetric reflexive polytopes*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **52** (2011), no. 2, 297–304. MR 2842630

[NZ11] Benjamin Nill and Günter M. Ziegler, *Projecting lattice polytopes without interior lattice points.*, Math. Oper. Res. **36** (2011), no. 3, 462–467.

[Øb07] Mikkel Øbro, *An algorithm for the classification of smooth fano polytopes*, arXiv:0704.0049 (2007).

[OSY12] Hajime Ono, Yuji Sano, and Naoto Yotsutani, *An example of an asymptotically Chow unstable manifold with constant scalar curvature*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **62** (2012), no. 4, 1265–1287. MR 3025743

[Ree57] J.E. Reeve, *On the volume of lattice polyhedra.*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957), 378–395.

[San90] G. K Sankaran, *Stable quintiples and terminal quotient singularities*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **107** (1990), no. 1, 91–101.

[Sca85] Herbert E. Scarf, *Integral polyhedra in three space.*, Math. Oper. Res. **10** (1985), 403–438.

[Seb99] András Sebő, *An introduction to empty lattice simplices*, Integer programming and combinatorial optimization (Graz, 1999), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 1610, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 400–414. MR 1709397 (2000e:90063)

[Tre08] Jaron Treutlein, *3-dimensional lattice polytopes without interior lattice points*, arXiv:0809.1787 (2008).

(F. Santos and M. Blanco) Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística y Computación, Universidad de Cantabria, 39005 Santander, Spain

E-mail address: francisco.santos@unican.es, monica.blancogomez@unican.es

(C. Haase and J. Hofmann) Mathematik, FU Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany

E-mail address: haase@math.fu-berlin.de, janhofmann@math.fu-berlin.de